# WASHOUGAL HATCHERY

# A COMPILATON AND SUMMARY OF IHOT AUDITS FOR COHO TYPE N, AND TULE FALL CHINOOK.

**JULY, 1998** 

# HATCHERY EVALUATION REPORT SUMMARY FOR

washougal HatcheryCoho (Type N)Tule Fall Chinook

# A Summarized Compilation of Independents Audits Based on Integrated Hatchery Operations Team (IHOT) Performance Measures

SUMMARY REPORT PREPARED BY: DON SAMPSON SAMPSEL CONSULTING SERVICES FOR THE NORTHWEST POWER PLANNING COUNCIL JULY, 1998

Original IHOT Audit Reports Prepared by:

Montgomery Watson 2375 130th Avenue NE Suite 200 Bellevue, WA 98005 March, 1997 BPA Project Number 95-2 Contract Number 95AC49468

# CONTENTS

| Section 1 | Executive Summary 1   |
|-----------|---|
| Section 2 | Facility Description  |
| Section 3 | Remedial Actions  |
| Section 4 | Hatchery Contribution to Fisheries, Spawning Grounds and Hatcheries |
| Section 5 | Annual Operating Expenditures                                       |

This report compiles a summary of the findings of two separate Hatchery Evaluation Reports for Coho (Type N), and Fall Chinook Washougal Hatchery. The hatchery is located along the Washougal River about 16 miles north of the town of Washougal, Washington. The hatchery is used for adult collection, incubation, and rearing of tule fall chinook and late coho (N type).

The audit was conducted in 1996-1997 as part of a 2-year effort that will include 67 hatcheries and satellite facilities located on the Columbia and Snake River system in Idaho, Oregon, and Washington. The hatchery operating agencies include the U.S Fish and Wildlife Service, Idaho Department of Fish and Game, Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife, and Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife.

# Background

The audit is being conducted as a requirement of the Northwest Power Planning Council (NPPC) "Strategy for Salmon" and the Columbia River Basin Fish and Wildlife Program. Under the audit, the hatcheries are evaluated against policies and related performance measures developed by the Integrated Hatchery Operations Team (IHOT). IHOT is a multi-agency group established by the NPPC to direct the development of new basinwide standards for managing and operating fish hatcheries. The Bonneville Power Administration (BPA) contracted with Montgomery Watson to act as an independent contractor for the audit.

IHOT has established five basic policies that cover: (1) hatchery coordination, (2) hatchery performance standards, (3) fish health, (4) ecological interaction, and (5) genetics. The audit focuses on all these policies, with the exception of hatchery coordination. These policies are set forth in *Policies and Procedures for Columbia Basin Anadromous Salmonid Hatcheries (IHOT 1995)*. That document is the source for the performance measures that are the basis of this audit.

### The Audit Process

The audit was based on the facility management's response to a 109-page questionnaire. This audit form was completed through a five-step process in which:

- Information was obtained from headquarters.
- The hatchery manager was asked to fill out and return the audit form.
- A 1-2 day site audit visit was conducted to inspect facilities, review hatchery records, discuss audit form responses, and develop remedial action plans.

A compliance report was developed to document the compliance status of each performance measure. This report was then shared with the hatchery manager and IHOT representative.

This hatchery evaluation report was written to document compliance with IHOT performance measures and develop cost estimates for remedial actions when needed.

### Washougal Hatchery - Tule Fall Chinook Results

The Washougal facility includes one pond for adult holding, 24 concrete raceways, 3 earthen ponds, and incubation facilities. The Washougal Hatchery was authorized under the Mitchell Act and began operating in 1959 as part of the Columbia River Fisheries Development Program - a program to mitigate for fishery losses caused by hydroelectric system development. The goal of the hatchery is to produce lower river fall chinook and coho that will contribute to NE Pacific and Columbia River Basin commercial and sports fisheries while providing adequate escapement for hatchery production.

The Washougal Hatchery - Tule Fall Chinook program was in general compliance with most of the performance measures. In the area of program objectives, the hatchery was not meeting its adult return goal and needed to document smolt-to-adult survival. The audit found that the hatchery was not in compliance with the temperature criteria, water quality monitoring requirements, and pathology-free water criteria, which are all facilities requirements. The hatchery was not in compliance with the feed preparation tests, flow for incubation criteria, and loading criteria for rearing. The hatchery needed to develop specific incubation and rearing standards for the IHOT Operations Plan. The hatchery did not have a Genetics Monitoring and Evaluation Program.

The specific areas in which the Washougal Hatchery - Tule Fall Chinook program requires remedial actions based on the IHOT performance measures are listed below. These remedial actions are listed in alphabetical order without intent of ranking or otherwise assigning priority:

- Conduct IHOT QA/QC tests for feed preparation
- Develop an approved genetics M&E plan
- Develop smoltification goal and monitor
- Develop specific incubation and rearing standards for the IHOT Operations Plan
- Improve smolt-to-adult survival
- Document water temperature in the transportation unit
- Follow IHOT criteria for incubation flow or revise criteria
- Follow IHOT protocols for disinfection of vehicle interiors and exteriors
- Monitor TGP and record
- Provide 2,000 gpm more water to earthen ponds 25, 26, and 27
- Provide disease-free water for incubation and early rearing
- Provide telephone pagers for staff
- Review IHOT temperature criteria for spawning and rearing
- Run analysis for water chemistry parameters, turbidity, alkalinity, hardness, nitrite, and contaminants

Non-compliance issues resulting from items beyond human control or Performance Measures not relevant to this hatchery (Type 1 in Table 3, Section 4 of this report) were not listed above.

### Washougal Hatchery - Coho (Type N) Results

The Washougal facility includes one pond for adult holding, 24 concrete raceways, 3 earthen ponds, and incubation facilities. The Washougal Hatchery was authorized under the Mitchell Act and began operating in 1959 as part of the Columbia River Fisheries Development Program - a program to mitigate for fishery losses caused by hydroelectric system development. The goal of the hatchery is to produce lower river fall chinook and coho that will contribute to NE Pacific and Columbia River Basin commercial and sports fisheries while providing adequate escapement for hatchery production.

The Washougal Hatchery - Coho (Type N) program was in general compliance with most of the performance measures. In the area of program objectives, the hatchery was not meeting its adult return goal and needed to document its adult contribution and smolt-to-adult survival. The audit found that the hatchery was not in compliance with the temperature criteria, water quality monitoring requirements, acclimation facilities, and pathology-free water criteria, which are all facilities requirements. The hatchery was also not in compliance with the feed preparation tests, flow for incubation criteria, and loading criteria for rearing. The hatchery needed to develop specific incubation and rearing standards for the IHOT Operations Plan. The hatchery did not have a Genetics Monitoring and Evaluation Program.

The specific areas in which the Washougal Hatchery - Coho (Type N) program requires remedial actions based on the IHOT performance measures are listed below. These remedial actions are listed in alphabetical order without intent of ranking or otherwise assigning priority:

- Conduct IHOT QA/QC tests for feed preparation
- Develop an approved genetics M&E plan
- Develop smoltification goal and monitor
- Develop specific incubation and rearing standards for the IHOT Operations Plan
- Document compliance with the adequacy of transportation systems to meet IHOT requirements
- Follow IHOT criteria for incubation flow or revise criteria
- Follow IHOT protocols for disinfection of vehicle interiors and exteriors
- Improve fry-to-smolt survival
- Improve smolt-to-adult survival
- Monitor TGP and record
- Provide 2,000 gpm more water to earthen ponds 25, 26 and 27
- Provide acclimation facilities for fish released in the Klickitat River
- Provide disease-free water for incubation and early rearing
- Provide documentation of water temperature in the transportation unit
- Provide documentation on inspection and service of the transport truck/chassis and tank/unit prior to the release season
- Provide telephone pagers for staff
- Review IHOT temperature criteria for spawning and rearing
- Run analysis for water chemistry parameters, turbidity, alkalinity, hardness, nitrite, and contaminants

Non-compliance issues resulting from items beyond human control or Performance Measures not relevant to this hatchery (Type 1 in Table 3, Section 4 of this report) were not listed above.

# Section 2 Facility Description

| Name:             | Washougal Fish Hatchery   |
|-------------------|---|
| Stock/Species:    | Tule Chinook<br>Coho (Type N)   |
| Operating Agency: | Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife  |
| Funding Agency:   | Mitchell Act (NMFS)   |
| Location:         | The hatchery is located along the Washougal River about 16 miles north of the town of Washougal, Washington.  |
| Address:          | 15632 Washougal River Road<br>Washougal, WA 98671   |
| Hatchery Manager: | Mr. John Norton   |
| Phone:<br>Fax:    | (360) 837-3311<br>(360) 837-3996  |
| Purpose:          | The Washougal Hatchery was authorized under the Mitchell Act and<br>began operating in 1959 as part of the Columbia River Fisheries<br>Development Program - a program to mitigate for fishery losses caused<br>by hydroelectric system development. The goal of the hatchery is to<br>produce lower river fall chinook and coho that will contribute to NE<br>Pacific and Columbia River Basin commercial and sports fisheries while<br>providing adequate escapement for hatchery production. |

| Production Goal:      | Tule Fall Chinook   |
|-----------------------|---|
|                       | Produce 6 million subyearlings for on-station release.  |
|                       | Provide 500 eggs/fish to co-op programs   |
|                       | Provide eggs/fish to other facilities   |
|                       | Coho (Type N)   |
|                       | Produce 500,000 yearlings for on-station release  |
|                       | Provide 220,000 eggs/fish to co-op programs   |
|                       | Produce 2.5 million yearlings for release into the Klickitat River as per U.S. v. Oregon Agreement    |
| Water Supply:         | Water rights total 15,061 gpm from four sources: Washougal River Bob Creek, Boyle Creek, and C-Creek. |
| Facilities:           |   |
| Adult Holding:        | 1 asphalt-lined adult pond - 100,825 cf   |
| Incubation:           | 72 full stack vertical tray incubators - 1,152 trays  |
|                       | 4 deep troughs  |
| Early Rearing:        | 2 shallow troughs   |
| Raceways:             | 12 concrete raceways - 5,000 cf each  |
|                       | 12 concrete raceways - 8,750 cf each  |
| Rearing Ponds:        | 1 earthen pond, Pond 25 - 100,000 cf  |
|                       | 1 earthen pond, Pond 26 - 120,000 cf  |
|                       | 1 earthen pond, Pond 27 - 420,000 cf  |
|                       |   |
| Satellite Facilities: | None  |

# Section 3 Remedial Actions

Based on the compliance status for each performance measure, remedial actions were developed. The required remedial actions are organized into five categories. The types of categories range across a spectrum from those actions that are beyond human control, to those that require a change in agency policy or procedures, to those that involve a significant capital cost to put in place. The following are the five types of remedial actions identified under phase 1 of the audit:

| Туре | Description   |
|------|---|
| 1    | Non-compliance issues resulting from items beyond human control or Performance<br>Measures not relevant for this hatchery |
| 2    | Remedial actions requiring changes in agency policies or procedures   |
| 3    | Remedial actions requiring changes in monitoring coverage or interval   |
| 4    | Remedial actions requiring significant capital expenditures   |
| 5    | Remedial actions that may require significant capital expenditures but are not clearly definable at this time             |

#### The Five Types of Remedial Actions

# Remedial Actions at Washougal Hatchery - Tule Fall Chinook

This section presents the corrective actions required to bring the Washougal Hatchery - Tule Fall Chinook program into compliance with IHOT performance measures. The remedial actions suggested here are just that, <u>suggestions</u> developed by the Montgomery Watson Audit Team. For some non-compliance areas, other remedial actions could be proposed. The required remedial actions are cross-referenced to each IHOT performance measure that was not in compliance. Where appropriate, the costs associated with the remedial actions are also presented (Table 3).

The cost estimates presented in this section are based on professional experience from similar projects. In most cases, only a lump-sum figure is presented, and detailed take-off lists have not been prepared. The cost estimates are essentially order of magnitude estimates ( $\pm$  40%).

More importantly, the suggested remedial activities may also present several levels of action. Optional actions have been listed for several problems. These optional actions are desirable for either operational or safety considerations.

#### Table 3. Remedial Actions Required at Washougal Hatchery - Tule Fall Chinook

#### **Remedial Action Required**

| Cost | PMs <sup>1</sup> |
|------|------------------|
|      |                  |

<sup>1</sup> PMs are performance measures that were extracted from the IHOT 1995 report. The IHOT performance measures are listed in Table 2 (Section 3 of this report) in numerical order.

Based on the compliance status for each performance measure, remedial actions were developed. The required remedial actions are organized into five categories. The types of categories range across a spectrum from those actions that are beyond human control, to those that require a change in agency policy or procedures, to those that involve a significant capital cost to put in place. The following are the five types of remedial actions identified under phase 1 of the audit:

| Туре | Description   |
|------|---|
| 1    | Non-compliance issues resulting from items beyond human control or Performance<br>Measures not relevant for this hatchery |
| 2    | Remedial actions requiring changes in agency policies or procedures   |
| 3    | Remedial actions requiring changes in monitoring coverage or interval   |
| 4    | Remedial actions requiring significant capital expenditures   |
| 5    | Remedial actions that may require significant capital expenditures but are not clearly definable at this time             |

#### The Five Types of Remedial Actions

# Remedial Actions at Washougal Hatchery - Coho (Type N)

This section presents the corrective actions required to bring the Washougal Hatchery - Coho (Type N) program into compliance with IHOT performance measures. The remedial actions suggested here are just that, <u>suggestions</u> developed by the Montgomery Watson Audit Team. For some non-compliance areas, other remedial actions could be proposed. The required remedial

actions are cross-referenced to each IHOT performance measure that was not in compliance. Where appropriate, the costs associated with the remedial actions are also presented (Table 3).

The cost estimates presented in this section are based on professional experience from similar projects. In most cases, only a lump-sum figure is presented, and detailed take-off lists have not been prepared. The cost estimates are essentially order of magnitude estimates ( $\pm$  40%).

More importantly, the suggested remedial activities may also present several levels of action. Optional actions have been listed for several problems. These optional actions are desirable for either operational or safety considerations.

| Table 3. | Remedial | Actions | <b>Required</b> at | Washougal Hatchery | - Coho (Type N) |
|----------|----------|---------|--------------------|--------------------|-----------------|
|          |          |         |                    |                    |                 |

| Remedial Action Required   | Cost | PMs <sup>1</sup> |
|--|------|------------------|
| Type 1 - Non-compliance issues resulting from items beyond humancontrol or Performance Measures not relevant for this hatchery |      |                  |
| Improve adult returns  |      | 4c               |
| Install security alarms  |      | 6                |
| <b>Type 2</b> - Remedial actions requiring changes in agency policies or procedures  |      |                  |
| Improve smolt-to-adult survival  |      | 4h               |
| Review IHOT temperature criteria for spawning and rearing  |      | 5a               |
| Conduct IHOT QA/QC tests for feed preparation  |      | 12               |
| Document compliance with the adequacy of transportation systems to meet IHOT requirements                                      |      | 15               |
| Develop specific incubation and rearing standards for the IHOT Operations Plan   |      | 18-19            |
| Follow IHOT criteria for incubation flow or revise criteria  |      | 18               |
| Develop smoltification goal and monitor  |      | 22a1             |
| Follow IHOT protocols for disinfection of vehicle interiors and exteriors  |      | 23               |
| Provide documentation on inspection and service of the transport truck/chassis and tank/unit prior to the release season       |      | 23               |
| Provide documentation of water temperature in the transportation unit  |      | 23               |

| Remedial Action Required   | Cost | PMs <sup>1</sup> |
|--|------|------------------|
| <b>Type 1</b> - Non-compliance issues resulting from items beyond human control or Performance Measures not relevant for this hatchery |      |                  |
| Improve adult returns  |      | 4c               |
| Install security alarms  |      | 6                |
| <b>Type 2</b> - Remedial actions requiring changes in agency policies or procedures  |      |                  |
| Document adult contribution  |      | 4a               |
| Improve smolt-to-adult survival  |      | 4h               |
| Develop an approved genetics M&E plan  |      | 43               |

| Remedial Action Required  | Cost             | PMs <sup>1</sup> |
|---|------------------|------------------|
| <b>Type 3</b> - Remedial actions requiring changes in monitoring coverage or interval   |                  |                  |
| Monitor TGP and record  |                  | 5b               |
| Run analysis for water chemistry parameters, turbidity, alkalinity, hardness, nitrite, and contaminants                       |                  | 5c-5g            |
| Type 4 - Remedial actions requiring significant capital expenditures  |                  |                  |
| Provide disease-free water for incubation and early rearing (10,000 gpm)  | \$5.7<br>million | 5h, 28           |
| Provide telephone pagers for staff  | 5,000            | 6                |
| Provide 2,000 gpm more water to earthen ponds 25, 26 and 27   | 75,000           | 19               |
| Provide acclimation facilities for fish released in the Klickitat River   | \$1.0<br>million | 22b              |
| <b>Type 5</b> - Remedial actions that may require significant capital expenditures but are not clearly definable at this time |                  |                  |
| Improve fry-to-smolt survival   |                  | 4f               |

| Remedial Action Required  | Cost | PMs <sup>1</sup> |
|---|------|------------------|
| Review IHOT temperature criteria for spawning and rearing   |      | 5a               |
| Conduct IHOT QA/QC tests for feed preparation   |      | 12               |
| Develop specific incubation and rearing standards for the IHOT Operations Plan                          |      | 18-19            |
| Follow IHOT criteria for incubation flow or revise criteria   |      | 18               |
| Develop smoltification goal and monitor   |      | 22a1             |
| Develop an approved genetics M&E plan   |      | 43               |
| <b>Type 3</b> - Remedial actions requiring changes in monitoring coverage or interval                   |      |                  |
| Monitor TGP and record  |      | 5b               |
| Run analysis for water chemistry parameters, turbidity, alkalinity, hardness, nitrite, and contaminants |      | 5c-5g            |

| Remedial Action Required  | Cost        | PMs <sup>1</sup> |
|---|-------------|------------------|
| Type 4 - Remedial actions requiring significant capital expenditures  |             |                  |
| Provide disease-free water for incubation and early rearing   | \$5,700,000 | 5h, 28           |
| Provide telephone pagers for staff  | \$5,000     | 6                |
| Provide 2,000 gpm more water to earthen ponds 25, 26, and 27  | \$75,000    | 19               |
| <b>Type 5</b> - Remedial actions that may require significant capital expenditures but are not clearly definable at this time |             |                  |
| None  |             |                  |

# Remedial Actions at Washougal Hatchery - Coho (Type N)

This section presents the corrective actions required to bring the Washougal Hatchery - Coho (Type N) program into compliance with IHOT performance measures. The remedial actions suggested here are just that, <u>suggestions</u> developed by the Montgomery Watson Audit Team. For some non-compliance areas, other remedial actions could be proposed. The required remedial actions are cross-referenced to each IHOT performance measure that was not in compliance. Where appropriate, the costs associated with the remedial actions are also presented (Table 3).

The cost estimates presented in this section are based on professional experience from similar projects. In most cases, only a lump-sum figure is presented, and detailed take-off lists have not been prepared. The cost estimates are essentially order of magnitude estimates ( $\pm$  40%).

More importantly, the suggested remedial activities may also present several levels of action. Optional actions have been listed for several problems. These optional actions are desirable for either operational or safety considerations.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> PMs are performance measures that were extracted from the IHOT 1995 report. The IHOT performance measures are listed in Table 2 (Section 3 of this report) in numerical order.

| Remedial Action Required   | Cost | PMs <sup>1</sup> |
|--|------|------------------|
| <b>Type 1</b> - Non-compliance issues resulting from items beyond human control or Performance Measures not relevant for this hatchery |      |                  |
| Improve adult returns  |      | 4c               |
| Install security alarms  |      | 6                |
| <b>Type 2</b> - Remedial actions requiring changes in agency policies or procedures  |      |                  |
| Improve smolt-to-adult survival  |      | 4h               |
| Review IHOT temperature criteria for spawning and rearing  |      | 5a               |
| Conduct IHOT QA/QC tests for feed preparation  |      | 12               |
| Document compliance with the adequacy of transportation systems to meet IHOT requirements  |      | 15               |
| Develop specific incubation and rearing standards for the IHOT<br>Operations Plan  |      | 18-19            |
| Follow IHOT criteria for incubation flow or revise criteria  |      | 18               |
| Develop smoltification goal and monitor  |      | 22a1             |
| Follow IHOT protocols for disinfection of vehicle interiors and exteriors  |      | 23               |
| Provide documentation on inspection and service of the transport truck/chassis and tank/unit prior to the release season               |      | 23               |
| Provide documentation of water temperature in the transportation unit  |      | 23               |
| Develop an approved genetics M&E plan  |      | 43               |

# Table 3. Remedial Actions Required at Washougal Hatchery - Coho (Type N)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> PMs are performance measures that were extracted from the IHOT 1995 report. The IHOT performance measures are listed in Table 2 (Section 3 of this report) in numerical order.

| Remedial Action Required  | Cost             | PMs <sup>1</sup> |
|---|------------------|------------------|
| <b>Type 3</b> - Remedial actions requiring changes in monitoring coverage or interval   |                  |                  |
| Monitor TGP and record  |                  | 5b               |
| Run analysis for water chemistry parameters, turbidity, alkalinity, hardness, nitrite, and contaminants                       |                  | 5c-5g            |
| Type 4 - Remedial actions requiring significant capital expenditures  |                  |                  |
| Provide disease-free water for incubation and early rearing (10,000 gpm)  | \$5.7<br>million | 5h, 28           |
| Provide telephone pagers for staff  | 5,000            | 6                |
| Provide 2,000 gpm more water to earthen ponds 25, 26 and 27   | 75,000           | 19               |
| Provide acclimation facilities for fish released in the Klickitat River   | \$1.0<br>million | 22b              |
| <b>Type 5</b> - Remedial actions that may require significant capital expenditures but are not clearly definable at this time |                  |                  |
| Improve fry-to-smolt survival   |                  | 4f               |

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> PMs are performance measures that were extracted from the IHOT 1995 report. The IHOT performance measures are listed in Table 2 (Section 3 of this report) in numerical order.

# Hatchery Contribution to Fisheries, Spawning Grounds, and Hatcheries

This section presents the audit findings for the Washougal Hatchery - Tule Fall Chinook program contribution of adult fish to fisheries, local fisheries, spawning grounds, and hatcheries. Data is reported by broodyear. A broodyear refers to the adult contribution from the eggs produced from a single group of spawning adults. For some species, this may include fish caught as 2-, 3-, 4-, 5-, and 6-year old fish. Because of the return distribution and data processing delays, the complete adult contribution for a given broodyear may not be available until 4 to 5 years after the fish have been released from the hatchery.

| Year | Fisheries <sup>1</sup><br>(Broodyear) | Spawning<br>Grounds <sup>1</sup><br>(Broodyear) | Hatchery <sup>1</sup><br>(Broodyear) | Total<br>Combined<br>Contribution <sup>2</sup><br>(Broodyear) | Smolt to Adult<br>Survival<br>(percent) |
|------|---------------------------------------|---|--------------------------------------|---|---|
| 1982 |                                       |   |                                      |   |   |
| 1983 |                                       |   |                                      |   |   |
| 1984 |                                       |   |                                      |   |   |
| 1985 | 2,743                                 | 563   | 831                                  | 4,137   | 0.83%                                   |
| 1986 | 237                                   | 82  | 82                                   | 401   | 0.19%                                   |
| 1987 | 264                                   | 78  | 101                                  | 443   | 0.21%                                   |
| 1988 | No information provided               | No information<br>provided                      | No information<br>provided           | No information<br>provided                                    | No information<br>provided              |
| 1989 | 110                                   | 33  | 32                                   | 175   | 0.19%                                   |
| 1990 |                                       |   |                                      |   |   |
| 1991 |                                       |   |                                      |   |   |

# Table 4. Adult Contribution to Fisheries, Spawning Grounds, and Hatcheries:Washougal Hatchery - Tule Fall Chinook

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Data obtained from Missing Production Groups Annual Report or from the Regional Mark Information System database.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Total combined adult contribution; presented when it is not possible to subdivide the contribution into fisheries, spawning grounds, and hatchery contributions.

| 1992 |  |  |  |
|------|--|--|--|
|      |  |  |  |

This section presents the audit findings for the Washougal Hatchery - Coho (Type N) program contribution of adult fish to fisheries, local fisheries, spawning grounds, and hatcheries. Data is reported by broodyear. A broodyear refers to the adult contribution from the eggs produced from a single group of spawning adults. For some species, this may include fish caught as 2-, 3-, 4-, 5-, and 6-year old fish. Because of the return distribution and data processing delays, the complete adult contribution for a given broodyear may not be available until 4 to 5 years after the fish have been released from the hatchery.

| Table 4. Adult Contribution to Fisheries, Spawning Grounds, and Hatcheries: |
|---|
| Washougal Hatchery - Coho (Type N)  |

| Year | Fisheries <sup>1</sup> | Spawning<br>Grounds <sup>1</sup> | Hatchery <sup>1</sup> | Total<br>Combined<br>Contribution <sup>2</sup> | Smolt to Adult<br>Survival<br>(percent) |
|------|------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------|--|---|
|      | (Broodyear)            | (Broodyear)                      | (Broodyear)           | (Broodyear)                                    |   |
| 1982 |                        |                                  |                       |  |   |
| 1983 |                        |                                  |                       |  |   |
| 1984 |                        |                                  |                       |  |   |
| 1985 |                        |                                  |                       |  |   |
| 1986 |                        |                                  |                       |  |   |
| 1987 |                        |                                  |                       |  |   |
| 1988 | 2,022                  | No information provided          | 342                   | 2,364  | 2.57%                                   |
| 1989 | 746                    | No information provided          | 311                   | 1,057  | 1.18%                                   |
| 1990 | 91                     | No information provided          | 23                    | 114  | 0.12%                                   |
| 1991 | 19                     | No information provided          | 43                    | 62   | 0.07%                                   |
| 1992 |                        |                                  |                       |  |   |

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Data obtained from Missing Production Groups Annual Report or from the Regional Mark Information System database.

 $<sup>^{2}</sup>$  Total combined adult contribution; presented when it is not possible to subdivide the contribution into fisheries, spawning grounds, and hatchery contributions.

# Section 5 Annual Operating Expenditures

The level and detail of annual operating expenditures varies widely depending on hatchery, operating agency, and funding source. When provided, expenditures were presented in terms of personnel costs, operating costs (power, feed, supplies), capital costs, indirect costs charged to the federal government, third-party costs, and other costs. These cost components were summed to determine a total hatchery annual cost. Based on discussion with the hatchery manager, the percent of total hatchery costs allocated to a given program was estimated. The total hatchery costs allocated to a given program were used to compute the cost of a given program. The total expenditures for the Washougal Hatchery are presented in Table 6 by program. The detailed breakdown of the Spring Chinook, Fall Chinook, and Coho program expenditures at this hatchery are presented in separate tables (Tables 6a, and 6b).

| Program              | 1994      | 1995      | 1996      |
|----------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| 1. Tule Fall Chinook | \$196,832 | \$224,623 | \$195,399 |
| 2. Coho (N type)     | \$416,351 | \$411,703 | \$424,914 |
| 3.                   |           |           |           |
| 4.                   |           |           |           |
| 5.                   |           |           |           |
| Total Hatchery Costs | \$613,183 | \$636,326 | \$620,313 |

Table 6. Annual Operating Expenses - Washougal Hatchery

# Table 6a. Detailed Expenditures at Washougal Hatchery by Program

| Component                          | 1994      | 1995      | 1996      |
|------------------------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Personnel Costs                    | \$141,319 | \$147,013 | \$148,792 |
|                                    |           |           |           |
| Operational Costs                  | \$187,137 | \$192,625 | \$164,700 |
| Capital Costs                      | \$210,000 | \$210,000 | \$210,000 |
| Indirect Costs                     | \$74,727  | \$86,688  | \$96,821  |
| Lumped Hatchery Costs <sup>1</sup> |           |           |           |
| Lumped Third-Party Costs           |           |           |           |
| Total Hatchery Costs               | \$613,183 | \$636,326 | \$620,313 |
| Source of Funds                    |           |           |           |
| NMFS                               | 100%      | 100%      | 100%      |
|                                    |           |           |           |
| Program Production (lb)            | 70,625    | 79,337    | 81,627    |
| Total Production (lb)              | 219,881   | 242,920   | 259,156   |
| Program as Percent of Total        | 32.1%     | 35.3%     | 31.5%     |
| Program Costs                      | \$196,832 | \$224,623 | \$195,399 |

# **Tule Fall Chinook**

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> When it was not possible to obtain a detailed cost breakdown from an agency or third party, the undivided costs were entered here.

# Table 6b. Detailed Expenditures at Washougal Hatchery by Program

| Component                          | 1994      | 1995      | 1996      |
|------------------------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Personnel Costs                    | \$141,319 | \$147,013 | \$148,792 |
| Operational Costs                  | \$187,137 | \$192,625 | \$164,700 |
| Capital Costs                      | \$210,000 | \$210,000 | \$210,000 |
| Indirect Costs                     | \$74,727  | \$86,688  | \$96,821  |
| Lumped Hatchery Costs <sup>1</sup> |           |           |           |
| Lumped Third-Party Costs           |           |           |           |
| Total Hatchery Costs               | \$613,183 | \$636,326 | \$620,313 |
| Source of Funds                    |           |           |           |
| NMFS                               | 100%      | 100%      | 100%      |
|                                    |           |           |           |
| Program Production (lb)            | 149,256   | 163,583   | 177,529   |
| Total Production (lb)              | 219,881   | 242,920   | 259,156   |
| Program as Percent of Total        | 32.1%     | 35.3%     | 31.5%     |
| Program Costs                      | \$416,351 | \$411,703 | \$424,914 |

# Coho (N Type)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> When it was not possible to obtain a detailed cost breakdown from an agency or third party, the undivided costs were entered here.